

Cultivating Complex Analysis: Linear fractional transformations (1.4 part 2)

Jiří Lebl

Departemento pri Matematiko de Oklahoma Ŝtata Universitato

An LFT, $\frac{az+b}{cz+d}$, can be viewed as a 2×2 complex matrix.

An LFT, $\frac{az+b}{cz+d}$, can be viewed as a 2×2 complex matrix.

We need to view the Riemann sphere as the so-called (one-dimensional) complex projective space.

An LFT, $\frac{az+b}{cz+d}$, can be viewed as a 2×2 complex matrix.

We need to view the Riemann sphere as the so-called (one-dimensional) complex projective space.

Define the equivalence relation \sim on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ by $u \sim v \Leftrightarrow u = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Define

$$\mathbb{CP}^1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} / \sim .$$

An LFT, $\frac{az+b}{cz+d}$, can be viewed as a 2×2 complex matrix.

We need to view the Riemann sphere as the so-called (one-dimensional) complex projective space.

Define the equivalence relation \sim on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ by $u \sim v \Leftrightarrow u = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Define

$$\mathbb{CP}^1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} / \sim .$$

\mathbb{CP}^1 is the set of “complex lines through the origin” in \mathbb{C}^2 , or the set of one-dimensional vector subspaces of \mathbb{C}^2 .

An LFT, $\frac{az+b}{cz+d}$, can be viewed as a 2×2 complex matrix.

We need to view the Riemann sphere as the so-called (one-dimensional) complex projective space.

Define the equivalence relation \sim on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ by $u \sim v \Leftrightarrow u = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Define

$$\mathbb{CP}^1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} / \sim .$$

\mathbb{CP}^1 is the set of “complex lines through the origin” in \mathbb{C}^2 , or the set of one-dimensional vector subspaces of \mathbb{C}^2 .

Denote by $[z : w] \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ the equivalence class containing $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$.

An LFT, $\frac{az+b}{cz+d}$, can be viewed as a 2×2 complex matrix.

We need to view the Riemann sphere as the so-called (one-dimensional) complex projective space.

Define the equivalence relation \sim on $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ by $u \sim v \Leftrightarrow u = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Define

$$\mathbb{CP}^1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} / \sim.$$

\mathbb{CP}^1 is the set of “complex lines through the origin” in \mathbb{C}^2 , or the set of one-dimensional vector subspaces of \mathbb{C}^2 .

Denote by $[z : w] \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ the equivalence class containing $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$.

Define the bijection $\Psi: \mathbb{C}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ as

$$\Psi(z) = \begin{cases} [z : 1] & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{C}, \\ [1 : 0] & \text{if } z = \infty. \end{cases}$$

We claim an LFT corresponds to an invertible 2×2 matrix:

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

We claim an LFT corresponds to an invertible 2×2 matrix:

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

An invertible M takes 1-dim subspaces to 1-dim subspaces, $ad \neq bc$ means M is invertible.

We claim an LFT corresponds to an invertible 2×2 matrix:

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

An invertible M takes 1-dim subspaces to 1-dim subspaces, $ad \neq bc$ means M is invertible.

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-d/c\}$ (or $z \in \mathbb{C}$ if $c = 0$)

$$\Psi \circ f(z) = \left[\frac{az + b}{cz + d} : 1 \right]$$

We claim an LFT corresponds to an invertible 2×2 matrix:

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

An invertible M takes 1-dim subspaces to 1-dim subspaces, $ad \neq bc$ means M is invertible.

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-d/c\}$ (or $z \in \mathbb{C}$ if $c = 0$)

$$\Psi \circ f(z) = \left[\frac{az + b}{cz + d} : 1 \right] = [az + b : cz + d].$$

We claim an LFT corresponds to an invertible 2×2 matrix:

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

An invertible M takes 1-dim subspaces to 1-dim subspaces, $ad \neq bc$ means M is invertible.

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-d/c\}$ (or $z \in \mathbb{C}$ if $c = 0$)

$$\Psi \circ f(z) = \left[\frac{az + b}{cz + d} : 1 \right] = [az + b : cz + d].$$

When $z = -d/c$, then $cz + d = 0$, and $\Psi \circ f(z) = \Psi(\infty) = [1 : 0] = [az + b : cz + d]$ as well.

We claim an LFT corresponds to an invertible 2×2 matrix:

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

An invertible M takes 1-dim subspaces to 1-dim subspaces, $ad \neq bc$ means M is invertible.

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-d/c\}$ (or $z \in \mathbb{C}$ if $c = 0$)

$$\Psi \circ f(z) = \left[\frac{az + b}{cz + d} : 1 \right] = [az + b : cz + d].$$

When $z = -d/c$, then $cz + d = 0$, and $\Psi \circ f(z) = \Psi(\infty) = [1 : 0] = [az + b : cz + d]$ as well.

If $w \neq 0$, then $[z : w] = [z/w : 1]$.

We claim an LFT corresponds to an invertible 2×2 matrix:

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

An invertible M takes 1-dim subspaces to 1-dim subspaces, $ad \neq bc$ means M is invertible.

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-d/c\}$ (or $z \in \mathbb{C}$ if $c = 0$)

$$\Psi \circ f(z) = \left[\frac{az + b}{cz + d} : 1 \right] = [az + b : cz + d].$$

When $z = -d/c$, then $cz + d = 0$, and $\Psi \circ f(z) = \Psi(\infty) = [1 : 0] = [az + b : cz + d]$ as well.

If $w \neq 0$, then $[z : w] = [z/w : 1]$. So

$$\Psi \circ f \circ \Psi^{-1}([z : w])$$

We claim an LFT corresponds to an invertible 2×2 matrix:

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

An invertible M takes 1-dim subspaces to 1-dim subspaces, $ad \neq bc$ means M is invertible.

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-d/c\}$ (or $z \in \mathbb{C}$ if $c = 0$)

$$\Psi \circ f(z) = \left[\frac{az + b}{cz + d} : 1 \right] = [az + b : cz + d].$$

When $z = -d/c$, then $cz + d = 0$, and $\Psi \circ f(z) = \Psi(\infty) = [1 : 0] = [az + b : cz + d]$ as well.

If $w \neq 0$, then $[z : w] = [z/w : 1]$. So

$$\Psi \circ f \circ \Psi^{-1}([z : w]) = \Psi \circ f\left(\frac{z}{w}\right)$$

We claim an LFT corresponds to an invertible 2×2 matrix:

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

An invertible M takes 1-dim subspaces to 1-dim subspaces, $ad \neq bc$ means M is invertible.

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-d/c\}$ (or $z \in \mathbb{C}$ if $c = 0$)

$$\Psi \circ f(z) = \left[\frac{az + b}{cz + d} : 1 \right] = [az + b : cz + d].$$

When $z = -d/c$, then $cz + d = 0$, and $\Psi \circ f(z) = \Psi(\infty) = [1 : 0] = [az + b : cz + d]$ as well.

If $w \neq 0$, then $[z : w] = [z/w : 1]$. So

$$\Psi \circ f \circ \Psi^{-1}([z : w]) = \Psi \circ f\left(\frac{z}{w}\right) = \left[a \frac{z}{w} + b : c \frac{z}{w} + d \right]$$

We claim an LFT corresponds to an invertible 2×2 matrix:

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

An invertible M takes 1-dim subspaces to 1-dim subspaces, $ad \neq bc$ means M is invertible.

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-d/c\}$ (or $z \in \mathbb{C}$ if $c = 0$)

$$\Psi \circ f(z) = \left[\frac{az + b}{cz + d} : 1 \right] = [az + b : cz + d].$$

When $z = -d/c$, then $cz + d = 0$, and $\Psi \circ f(z) = \Psi(\infty) = [1 : 0] = [az + b : cz + d]$ as well.

If $w \neq 0$, then $[z : w] = [z/w : 1]$. So

$$\Psi \circ f \circ \Psi^{-1}([z : w]) = \Psi \circ f\left(\frac{z}{w}\right) = \left[a\frac{z}{w} + b : c\frac{z}{w} + d \right] = [az + bw : cz + dw].$$

We claim an LFT corresponds to an invertible 2×2 matrix:

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

An invertible M takes 1-dim subspaces to 1-dim subspaces, $ad \neq bc$ means M is invertible.

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-d/c\}$ (or $z \in \mathbb{C}$ if $c = 0$)

$$\Psi \circ f(z) = \left[\frac{az + b}{cz + d} : 1 \right] = [az + b : cz + d].$$

When $z = -d/c$, then $cz + d = 0$, and $\Psi \circ f(z) = \Psi(\infty) = [1 : 0] = [az + b : cz + d]$ as well.

If $w \neq 0$, then $[z : w] = [z/w : 1]$. So

$$\Psi \circ f \circ \Psi^{-1}([z : w]) = \Psi \circ f\left(\frac{z}{w}\right) = \left[a\frac{z}{w} + b : c\frac{z}{w} + d \right] = [az + bw : cz + dw].$$

The same equality holds if $w = 0$.

We claim an LFT corresponds to an invertible 2×2 matrix:

$$f(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

An invertible M takes 1-dim subspaces to 1-dim subspaces, $ad \neq bc$ means M is invertible.

For $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{-d/c\}$ (or $z \in \mathbb{C}$ if $c = 0$)

$$\Psi \circ f(z) = \left[\frac{az + b}{cz + d} : 1 \right] = [az + b : cz + d].$$

When $z = -d/c$, then $cz + d = 0$, and $\Psi \circ f(z) = \Psi(\infty) = [1 : 0] = [az + b : cz + d]$ as well.

If $w \neq 0$, then $[z : w] = [z/w : 1]$. So

$$\Psi \circ f \circ \Psi^{-1}([z : w]) = \Psi \circ f\left(\frac{z}{w}\right) = \left[a \frac{z}{w} + b : c \frac{z}{w} + d \right] = [az + bw : cz + dw].$$

The same equality holds if $w = 0$.

As $M \begin{bmatrix} z \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} az+bw \\ cz+dw \end{bmatrix}$, the function f corresponds to the linear map $v \mapsto Mv$ on \mathbb{C}^2 .

Note that any scalar multiple of M gives the same f .

Note that any scalar multiple of M gives the same f .

An invertible M is a map from $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ to $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$.

Note that any scalar multiple of M gives the same f .

An invertible M is a map from $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ to $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $\pi: \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ be the map $\pi((z, w)) = [z : w]$.

Note that any scalar multiple of M gives the same f .

An invertible M is a map from $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ to $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $\pi: \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1$ be the map $\pi((z, w)) = [z : w]$.

We have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} & \xrightarrow{M} & \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \\
 \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\
 \mathbb{CP}^1 & \xrightarrow{\Psi \circ f \circ \Psi^{-1}} & \mathbb{CP}^1 \\
 \Psi \updownarrow \Psi^{-1} & & \Psi \updownarrow \Psi^{-1} \\
 \mathbb{C}_\infty & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{C}_\infty
 \end{array}$$

The inverse of an LFT is an LFT, simply invert the matrix.

The inverse of an LFT is an LFT, simply invert the matrix.

The formula $M^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det M} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$ gives a handy formula for the inverse of an LFT. You can also just forget about dividing by the determinant since everything is up to a multiple.

The inverse of an LFT is an LFT, simply invert the matrix.

The formula $M^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det M} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$ gives a handy formula for the inverse of an LFT. You can also just forget about dividing by the determinant since everything is up to a multiple.

So LFTs form a group under composition, the *Möbius group*.

The inverse of an LFT is an LFT, simply invert the matrix.

The formula $M^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det M} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$ gives a handy formula for the inverse of an LFT. You can also just forget about dividing by the determinant since everything is up to a multiple.

So LFTs form a group under composition, the *Möbius group*.

The group is generated by T_a , D_a , and I for $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Example: *Cayley map*

$$C(z) = \frac{z - i}{z + i}.$$

Example: *Cayley map*

$$C(z) = \frac{z - i}{z + i}.$$

This map takes $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$ to \mathbb{D} .

Example: *Cayley map*

$$C(z) = \frac{z - i}{z + i}.$$

This map takes $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$ to \mathbb{D} .

Why? $C(z)$ is in the unit disc if

$$1 > \left| \frac{z - i}{z + i} \right| = \frac{|z - i|}{|z + i|}, \quad \text{in other words if} \quad |z + i| > |z - i|.$$

Example: Cayley map

$$C(z) = \frac{z - i}{z + i}.$$

This map takes $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$ to \mathbb{D} .

Why? $C(z)$ is in the unit disc if

$$1 > \left| \frac{z - i}{z + i} \right| = \frac{|z - i|}{|z + i|}, \quad \text{in other words if} \quad |z + i| > |z - i|.$$

