

Cultivating Complex Analysis: Line integrals, chains (3.1 part 3)

Jiří Lebl

Departemento pri Matematiko de Oklahoma Ŝtata Universitato

It is useful to combine paths to obtain so-called *chains*.

It is useful to combine paths to obtain so-called *chains*. For two paths γ and α ,

$$\int_{\gamma+\alpha} f(z) dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz + \int_{\alpha} f(z) dz.$$

It is useful to combine paths to obtain so-called *chains*. For two paths γ and α ,

$$\int_{\gamma+\alpha} f(z) dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz + \int_{\alpha} f(z) dz.$$

Definition

A *chain* in $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an expression $\Gamma = a_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + a_n\gamma_n$, where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ are piecewise- C^1 paths in U .

It is useful to combine paths to obtain so-called *chains*. For two paths γ and α ,

$$\int_{\gamma+\alpha} f(z) dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz + \int_{\alpha} f(z) dz.$$

Definition

A *chain* in $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an expression $\Gamma = a_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + a_n\gamma_n$, where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ are piecewise- C^1 paths in U . We integrate over Γ as

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{a_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + a_n\gamma_n} f(z) dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_1 \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz + \cdots + a_n \int_{\gamma_n} f(z) dz.$$

It is useful to combine paths to obtain so-called *chains*. For two paths γ and α ,

$$\int_{\gamma+\alpha} f(z) dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz + \int_{\alpha} f(z) dz.$$

Definition

A *chain* in $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an expression $\Gamma = a_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + a_n\gamma_n$, where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ are piecewise- C^1 paths in U . We integrate over Γ as

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{a_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + a_n\gamma_n} f(z) dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_1 \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz + \cdots + a_n \int_{\gamma_n} f(z) dz.$$

Two chains Γ_1 and Γ_2 in U are *equivalent* (we will write $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$) if

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} f(z) dz = \int_{\Gamma_2} f(z) dz \quad \text{for all continuous } f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

It is useful to combine paths to obtain so-called *chains*. For two paths γ and α ,

$$\int_{\gamma+\alpha} f(z) dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz + \int_{\alpha} f(z) dz.$$

Definition

A *chain* in $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an expression $\Gamma = a_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + a_n\gamma_n$, where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ are piecewise- C^1 paths in U . We integrate over Γ as

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{a_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + a_n\gamma_n} f(z) dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_1 \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz + \cdots + a_n \int_{\gamma_n} f(z) dz.$$

Two chains Γ_1 and Γ_2 in U are *equivalent* (we will write $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$) if

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} f(z) dz = \int_{\Gamma_2} f(z) dz \quad \text{for all continuous } f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Define the *zero chain* 0 by defining $\int_0 f(z) dz = 0$ for all continuous $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Chain arithmetic is done in the obvious way:

Chain arithmetic is done in the obvious way:

If $\Gamma_1 = 2\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ and $\Gamma_2 = 3\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$,
then $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 = 2\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$.

Chain arithmetic is done in the obvious way:

If $\Gamma_1 = 2\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ and $\Gamma_2 = 3\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$,
then $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 = 2\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$.

Similarly, $3\Gamma_1 = 6\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2$.

Chain arithmetic is done in the obvious way:

If $\Gamma_1 = 2\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ and $\Gamma_2 = 3\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$,
then $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 = 2\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$.

Similarly, $3\Gamma_1 = 6\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2$.

We write $-\Gamma$ for $(-1)\Gamma$. (Same as running all the γ_j backwards.)

Chain arithmetic is done in the obvious way:

If $\Gamma_1 = 2\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ and $\Gamma_2 = 3\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$,
then $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 = 2\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$.

Similarly, $3\Gamma_1 = 6\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2$.

We write $-\Gamma$ for $(-1)\Gamma$. (Same as running all the γ_j backwards.)

Γ is equivalent to the zero chain if

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0$$

for all continuous f , and the chains Γ_1 and Γ_2 are equivalent if $\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2 = 0$.

Chain arithmetic is done in the obvious way:

If $\Gamma_1 = 2\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ and $\Gamma_2 = 3\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$,
then $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 = 2\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$.

Similarly, $3\Gamma_1 = 6\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2$.

We write $-\Gamma$ for $(-1)\Gamma$. (Same as running all the γ_j backwards.)

Γ is equivalent to the zero chain if

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0$$

for all continuous f , and the chains Γ_1 and Γ_2 are equivalent if $\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2 = 0$.

Remark: The domain of the continuous f is not a big deal. Whether on U , $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, or \mathbb{C} . By Tietze's extension theorem every continuous function on a closed subset of \mathbb{C} (e.g., $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$) extends to a continuous function on \mathbb{C} .

Chain arithmetic is done in the obvious way:

If $\Gamma_1 = 2\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ and $\Gamma_2 = 3\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$,
then $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 = 2\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$.

Similarly, $3\Gamma_1 = 6\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2$.

We write $-\Gamma$ for $(-1)\Gamma$. (Same as running all the γ_j backwards.)

Γ is equivalent to the zero chain if

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0$$

for all continuous f , and the chains Γ_1 and Γ_2 are equivalent if $\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2 = 0$.

Remark: The domain of the continuous f is not a big deal. Whether on U , $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, or \mathbb{C} . By Tietze's extension theorem every continuous function on a closed subset of \mathbb{C} (e.g., $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$) extends to a continuous function on \mathbb{C} .

Remark: Equivalence is for all *continuous* functions. We will show later that for many U and many Γ , $\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0$ for all holomorphic f .

For any piecewise- C^1 path, we could construct an equivalent chain from C^1 paths.

For any piecewise- C^1 path, we could construct an equivalent chain from C^1 paths.

Vice versa, for any chain where the paths connect together end to end, we could replace them with a single path.

For any piecewise- C^1 path, we could construct an equivalent chain from C^1 paths.

Vice versa, for any chain where the paths connect together end to end, we could replace them with a single path.

Given two points $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, the *segment* $[z, w]$ is the path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\gamma(t) = (1 - t)z + tw$.

For any piecewise- C^1 path, we could construct an equivalent chain from C^1 paths.

Vice versa, for any chain where the paths connect together end to end, we could replace them with a single path.

Given two points $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, the *segment* $[z, w]$ is the path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\gamma(t) = (1 - t)z + tw$. (In chain arithmetic, $-[z, w] = [w, z]$.)

For any piecewise- C^1 path, we could construct an equivalent chain from C^1 paths.

Vice versa, for any chain where the paths connect together end to end, we could replace them with a single path.

Given two points $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, the *segment* $[z, w]$ is the path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\gamma(t) = (1 - t)z + tw$. (In chain arithmetic, $-[z, w] = [w, z]$.)

A path is *polygonal* if it is equivalent to a chain $[z_1, z_2] + [z_2, z_3] + \cdots + [z_{k-1}, z_k]$.

For any piecewise- C^1 path, we could construct an equivalent chain from C^1 paths.

Vice versa, for any chain where the paths connect together end to end, we could replace them with a single path.

Given two points $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, the *segment* $[z, w]$ is the path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\gamma(t) = (1 - t)z + tw$. (In chain arithmetic, $-[z, w] = [w, z]$.)

A path is *polygonal* if it is equivalent to a chain $[z_1, z_2] + [z_2, z_3] + \cdots + [z_{k-1}, z_k]$.

Note that for any continuous f and a path, we can find a nearby polygonal path where the integral of f is arbitrarily close to the original (exercise).

For any piecewise- C^1 path, we could construct an equivalent chain from C^1 paths.

Vice versa, for any chain where the paths connect together end to end, we could replace them with a single path.

Given two points $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, the *segment* $[z, w]$ is the path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\gamma(t) = (1 - t)z + tw$. (In chain arithmetic, $-[z, w] = [w, z]$.)

A path is *polygonal* if it is equivalent to a chain $[z_1, z_2] + [z_2, z_3] + \cdots + [z_{k-1}, z_k]$.

Note that for any continuous f and a path, we can find a nearby polygonal path where the integral of f is arbitrarily close to the original (exercise).

So really, knowing how to integrate polygonal paths is “good enough.”

For any piecewise- C^1 path, we could construct an equivalent chain from C^1 paths.

Vice versa, for any chain where the paths connect together end to end, we could replace them with a single path.

Given two points $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$, the *segment* $[z, w]$ is the path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\gamma(t) = (1 - t)z + tw$. (In chain arithmetic, $-[z, w] = [w, z]$.)

A path is *polygonal* if it is equivalent to a chain $[z_1, z_2] + [z_2, z_3] + \cdots + [z_{k-1}, z_k]$.

Note that for any continuous f and a path, we can find a nearby polygonal path where the integral of f is arbitrarily close to the original (exercise).

So really, knowing how to integrate polygonal paths is “good enough.”

Most often used paths are composed of segments and arcs of circles.