

Cultivating Complex Analysis: Hurwitz's theorem (5.4.3)

Jiří Lebl

Departemento pri Matematiko de Oklahoma Ŝtata Universitato

Theorem (Hurwitz)

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a sequence of holomorphic functions converging uniformly on compact subsets to a holomorphic $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Suppose Γ is a cycle in U homologous to zero in U , such that $n(\Gamma; z)$ is 0 or 1 for all $z \notin \Gamma$. Suppose f has no zeros on Γ and k zeros (counting multiplicity) in $V = \{z \in U \setminus \Gamma : n(\Gamma; z) = 1\}$. Then there is an N such that for all $n \geq N$, f_n has k zeros (counting multiplicity) in V .

Theorem (Hurwitz)

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a sequence of holomorphic functions converging uniformly on compact subsets to a holomorphic $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Suppose Γ is a cycle in U homologous to zero in U , such that $n(\Gamma; z)$ is 0 or 1 for all $z \notin \Gamma$. Suppose f has no zeros on Γ and k zeros (counting multiplicity) in $V = \{z \in U \setminus \Gamma : n(\Gamma; z) = 1\}$. Then there is an N such that for all $n \geq N$, f_n has k zeros (counting multiplicity) in V .

Proof: Γ is compact \Rightarrow there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta < |f(z)|$ for all $z \in \Gamma$.

Theorem (Hurwitz)

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a sequence of holomorphic functions converging uniformly on compact subsets to a holomorphic $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Suppose Γ is a cycle in U homologous to zero in U , such that $n(\Gamma; z)$ is 0 or 1 for all $z \notin \Gamma$. Suppose f has no zeros on Γ and k zeros (counting multiplicity) in $V = \{z \in U \setminus \Gamma : n(\Gamma; z) = 1\}$. Then there is an N such that for all $n \geq N$, f_n has k zeros (counting multiplicity) in V .

Proof: Γ is compact \Rightarrow there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta < |f(z)|$ for all $z \in \Gamma$.

$\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly to f on Γ .

Theorem (Hurwitz)

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a sequence of holomorphic functions converging uniformly on compact subsets to a holomorphic $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Suppose Γ is a cycle in U homologous to zero in U , such that $n(\Gamma; z)$ is 0 or 1 for all $z \notin \Gamma$. Suppose f has no zeros on Γ and k zeros (counting multiplicity) in $V = \{z \in U \setminus \Gamma : n(\Gamma; z) = 1\}$. Then there is an N such that for all $n \geq N$, f_n has k zeros (counting multiplicity) in V .

Proof: Γ is compact \Rightarrow there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta < |f(z)|$ for all $z \in \Gamma$.

$\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly to f on Γ .

For n large enough,

$$|f(z) - f_n(z)| < \delta < |f(z)| \quad \forall z \in \Gamma$$

Theorem (Hurwitz)

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a sequence of holomorphic functions converging uniformly on compact subsets to a holomorphic $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Suppose Γ is a cycle in U homologous to zero in U , such that $n(\Gamma; z)$ is 0 or 1 for all $z \notin \Gamma$. Suppose f has no zeros on Γ and k zeros (counting multiplicity) in $V = \{z \in U \setminus \Gamma : n(\Gamma; z) = 1\}$. Then there is an N such that for all $n \geq N$, f_n has k zeros (counting multiplicity) in V .

Proof: Γ is compact \Rightarrow there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta < |f(z)|$ for all $z \in \Gamma$.

$\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly to f on Γ .

For n large enough,

$$|f(z) - f_n(z)| < \delta < |f(z)| \quad \forall z \in \Gamma$$

Rouché's theorem \Rightarrow f and f_n have the same number of zeros in V . □

Theorem (Hurwitz)

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ a sequence of holomorphic functions converging uniformly on compact subsets to a holomorphic $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Suppose Γ is a cycle in U homologous to zero in U , such that $n(\Gamma; z)$ is 0 or 1 for all $z \notin \Gamma$. Suppose f has no zeros on Γ and k zeros (counting multiplicity) in $V = \{z \in U \setminus \Gamma : n(\Gamma; z) = 1\}$. Then there is an N such that for all $n \geq N$, f_n has k zeros (counting multiplicity) in V .

Proof: Γ is compact \Rightarrow there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $\delta < |f(z)|$ for all $z \in \Gamma$.

$\{f_n\}$ converges uniformly to f on Γ .

For n large enough,

$$|f(z) - f_n(z)| < \delta < |f(z)| \quad \forall z \in \Gamma$$

Rouché's theorem \Rightarrow f and f_n have the same number of zeros in V . □

“No zeros on Γ ” is necessary: $\Gamma = \partial\mathbb{D}$, $f(z) = z - 1$, $f_n(z) = z + (1 - \frac{1}{n})$.

Example: For every integer $k > 0$, $\exists N$ such that $\forall d \geq N$,

$$P_d(z) = \sum_{n=0}^d \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} z^{2n}$$

has exactly $2k$ zeros in $\Delta_{\pi k}(0)$.

Example: For every integer $k > 0$, $\exists N$ such that $\forall d \geq N$,

$$P_d(z) = \sum_{n=0}^d \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} z^{2n}$$

has exactly $2k$ zeros in $\Delta_{\pi k}(0)$.

Proof:

P_d are the partial sums of the power series of $\cos(z)$, which has exactly $2k$ zeros in $\Delta_{\pi k}(0)$.

The usual application is for a small disc:

The usual application is for a small disc:

Suppose $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of holomorphic functions on U converging uniformly on compact subsets to f .

The usual application is for a small disc:

Suppose $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of holomorphic functions on U converging uniformly on compact subsets to f . Suppose z_0 is a zero of f of order k .

The usual application is for a small disc:

Suppose $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of holomorphic functions on U converging uniformly on compact subsets to f . Suppose z_0 is a zero of f of order k .

Then for a small enough $\Delta_r(z_0)$, $\exists N$ such that $\forall n \geq N$,
 f_n has k zeros counting multiplicity in $\Delta_r(z_0)$.
(use $\Gamma = \partial\Delta_r(z_0)$)

The usual application is for a small disc:

Suppose $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of holomorphic functions on U converging uniformly on compact subsets to f . Suppose z_0 is a zero of f of order k .

Then for a small enough $\Delta_r(z_0)$, $\exists N$ such that $\forall n \geq N$,
 f_n has k zeros counting multiplicity in $\Delta_r(z_0)$.
(use $\Gamma = \partial\Delta_r(z_0)$)

Hurwitz theorem does not work for real functions.

The usual application is for a small disc:

Suppose $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of holomorphic functions on U converging uniformly on compact subsets to f . Suppose z_0 is a zero of f of order k .

Then for a small enough $\Delta_r(z_0)$, $\exists N$ such that $\forall n \geq N$,
 f_n has k zeros counting multiplicity in $\Delta_r(z_0)$.
(use $\Gamma = \partial\Delta_r(z_0)$)

Hurwitz theorem does not work for real functions.

Example:

$f(x) = x^2$, $f_n(x) = x^2 + \frac{1}{n}$. $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, but f_n never zero.

The usual application is for a small disc:

Suppose $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of holomorphic functions on U converging uniformly on compact subsets to f . Suppose z_0 is a zero of f of order k .

Then for a small enough $\Delta_r(z_0)$, $\exists N$ such that $\forall n \geq N$,
 f_n has k zeros counting multiplicity in $\Delta_r(z_0)$.
(use $\Gamma = \partial\Delta_r(z_0)$)

Hurwitz theorem does not work for real functions.

Example:

$f(x) = x^2$, $f_n(x) = x^2 + \frac{1}{n}$. $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, but f_n never zero.

$f(z) = z^2$, $f_n(z) = z^2 + \frac{1}{n}$. $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly.

The usual application is for a small disc:

Suppose $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of holomorphic functions on U converging uniformly on compact subsets to f . Suppose z_0 is a zero of f of order k .

Then for a small enough $\Delta_r(z_0)$, $\exists N$ such that $\forall n \geq N$,
 f_n has k zeros counting multiplicity in $\Delta_r(z_0)$.
(use $\Gamma = \partial\Delta_r(z_0)$)

Hurwitz theorem does not work for real functions.

Example:

$f(x) = x^2$, $f_n(x) = x^2 + \frac{1}{n}$. $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly, but f_n never zero.

$f(z) = z^2$, $f_n(z) = z^2 + \frac{1}{n}$. $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly.

For any $\epsilon > 0$, $z^2 + \frac{1}{n}$ has two zeros in $\Delta_\epsilon(0)$, for large enough n : $\pm i\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}$.

Injective holomorphic mappings are called *univalent*.

Injective holomorphic mappings are called *univalent*.

Corollary

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are univalent holomorphic functions that converge uniformly on compact subsets to $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then f is either univalent or constant.

Injective holomorphic mappings are called *univalent*.

Corollary

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are univalent holomorphic functions that converge uniformly on compact subsets to $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then f is either univalent or constant.

Proof: Suppose f is nonconstant.

Injective holomorphic mappings are called *univalent*.

Corollary

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are univalent holomorphic functions that converge uniformly on compact subsets to $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then f is either univalent or constant.

Proof: Suppose f is nonconstant. Suppose \exists distinct z_1 and z_2 in U such that $f(z_1) = f(z_2) = w$.

Injective holomorphic mappings are called *univalent*.

Corollary

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are univalent holomorphic functions that converge uniformly on compact subsets to $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then f is either univalent or constant.

Proof: Suppose f is nonconstant. Suppose \exists distinct z_1 and z_2 in U such that $f(z_1) = f(z_2) = w$.

$f - w$ has isolated zeros at z_1 and z_2 .

Injective holomorphic mappings are called *univalent*.

Corollary

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are univalent holomorphic functions that converge uniformly on compact subsets to $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then f is either univalent or constant.

Proof: Suppose f is nonconstant. Suppose \exists distinct z_1 and z_2 in U such that $f(z_1) = f(z_2) = w$.

$f - w$ has isolated zeros at z_1 and z_2 .

Take $\overline{\Delta_r(z_1)}, \overline{\Delta_r(z_2)} \subset U$,

Injective holomorphic mappings are called *univalent*.

Corollary

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are univalent holomorphic functions that converge uniformly on compact subsets to $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then f is either univalent or constant.

Proof: Suppose f is nonconstant. Suppose \exists distinct z_1 and z_2 in U such that $f(z_1) = f(z_2) = w$.

$f - w$ has isolated zeros at z_1 and z_2 .

Take $\overline{\Delta_r(z_1)}, \overline{\Delta_r(z_2)} \subset U$,

$\Delta_r(z_1) \cap \Delta_r(z_2) = \emptyset$,

Injective holomorphic mappings are called *univalent*.

Corollary

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are univalent holomorphic functions that converge uniformly on compact subsets to $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then f is either univalent or constant.

Proof: Suppose f is nonconstant. Suppose \exists distinct z_1 and z_2 in U such that $f(z_1) = f(z_2) = w$.

$f - w$ has isolated zeros at z_1 and z_2 .

Take $\overline{\Delta_r(z_1)}, \overline{\Delta_r(z_2)} \subset U$,

$\Delta_r(z_1) \cap \Delta_r(z_2) = \emptyset$,

such that $f - w$ is not zero on $\overline{\Delta_r(z_1)} \setminus \{z_1\}$ or $\overline{\Delta_r(z_2)} \setminus \{z_2\}$.

Injective holomorphic mappings are called *univalent*.

Corollary

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are univalent holomorphic functions that converge uniformly on compact subsets to $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then f is either univalent or constant.

Proof: Suppose f is nonconstant. Suppose \exists distinct z_1 and z_2 in U such that $f(z_1) = f(z_2) = w$.

$f - w$ has isolated zeros at z_1 and z_2 .

Take $\overline{\Delta_r(z_1)}, \overline{\Delta_r(z_2)} \subset U$,

$\Delta_r(z_1) \cap \Delta_r(z_2) = \emptyset$,

such that $f - w$ is not zero on $\overline{\Delta_r(z_1)} \setminus \{z_1\}$ or $\overline{\Delta_r(z_2)} \setminus \{z_2\}$.

Hurwitz \Rightarrow for large enough n , $f_n - w$ has the same number of zeros in $\Delta_r(z_1)$ as $f - w$.

Injective holomorphic mappings are called *univalent*.

Corollary

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are univalent holomorphic functions that converge uniformly on compact subsets to $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then f is either univalent or constant.

Proof: Suppose f is nonconstant. Suppose \exists distinct z_1 and z_2 in U such that $f(z_1) = f(z_2) = w$.

$f - w$ has isolated zeros at z_1 and z_2 .

Take $\overline{\Delta_r(z_1)}, \overline{\Delta_r(z_2)} \subset U$,

$\Delta_r(z_1) \cap \Delta_r(z_2) = \emptyset$,

such that $f - w$ is not zero on $\overline{\Delta_r(z_1)} \setminus \{z_1\}$ or $\overline{\Delta_r(z_2)} \setminus \{z_2\}$.

Hurwitz \Rightarrow for large enough n , $f_n - w$ has the same number of zeros in $\Delta_r(z_1)$ as $f - w$.
Same for $\Delta_r(z_2)$.

Injective holomorphic mappings are called *univalent*.

Corollary

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are univalent holomorphic functions that converge uniformly on compact subsets to $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then f is either univalent or constant.

Proof: Suppose f is nonconstant. Suppose \exists distinct z_1 and z_2 in U such that $f(z_1) = f(z_2) = w$.

$f - w$ has isolated zeros at z_1 and z_2 .

Take $\overline{\Delta_r(z_1)}, \overline{\Delta_r(z_2)} \subset U$,

$\Delta_r(z_1) \cap \Delta_r(z_2) = \emptyset$,

such that $f - w$ is not zero on $\overline{\Delta_r(z_1)} \setminus \{z_1\}$ or $\overline{\Delta_r(z_2)} \setminus \{z_2\}$.

Hurwitz \Rightarrow for large enough n , $f_n - w$ has the same number of zeros in $\Delta_r(z_1)$ as $f - w$.
Same for $\Delta_r(z_2)$.

$\Rightarrow \exists z'_1 \in \Delta_r(z_1)$ and $z'_2 \in \Delta_r(z_2)$ such that $f_n(z'_1) = f_n(z'_2) = w$.

Injective holomorphic mappings are called *univalent*.

Corollary

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are univalent holomorphic functions that converge uniformly on compact subsets to $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then f is either univalent or constant.

Proof: Suppose f is nonconstant. Suppose \exists distinct z_1 and z_2 in U such that $f(z_1) = f(z_2) = w$.

$f - w$ has isolated zeros at z_1 and z_2 .

Take $\overline{\Delta_r(z_1)}, \overline{\Delta_r(z_2)} \subset U$,

$\Delta_r(z_1) \cap \Delta_r(z_2) = \emptyset$,

such that $f - w$ is not zero on $\overline{\Delta_r(z_1)} \setminus \{z_1\}$ or $\overline{\Delta_r(z_2)} \setminus \{z_2\}$.

Hurwitz \Rightarrow for large enough n , $f_n - w$ has the same number of zeros in $\Delta_r(z_1)$ as $f - w$.
Same for $\Delta_r(z_2)$.

$\Rightarrow \exists z'_1 \in \Delta_r(z_1)$ and $z'_2 \in \Delta_r(z_2)$ such that $f_n(z'_1) = f_n(z'_2) = w$.

$\Rightarrow f_n$ not univalent.



Another common application is the following exercise.

Another common application is the following exercise.

Exercise: Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain, and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are holomorphic, nowhere zero, and converge uniformly on compact subsets to $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Another common application is the following exercise.

Exercise: Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a domain, and $f_n: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are holomorphic, nowhere zero, and converge uniformly on compact subsets to $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Show that either f is nowhere zero, or f is identically zero.