

Cultivating Complex Analysis: Line integrals (3.1 part 2)

Jiří Lebl

Departemento pri Matematiko de Oklahoma Ŝtata Universitato

The value of $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ does not depend on the parametrization,

The value of $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ does not depend on the parametrization, except for orientation.

The value of $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ does not depend on the parametrization, except for orientation.

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is C^1

The value of $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ does not depend on the parametrization, except for orientation.

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is C^1 and

$h: [c, d] \rightarrow [a, b]$ is C^1 , $h' > 0$ (increasing), $h(c) = a$, and $h(d) = b$.

The value of $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ does not depend on the parametrization, except for orientation.

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is C^1 and

$h: [c, d] \rightarrow [a, b]$ is C^1 , $h' > 0$ (increasing), $h(c) = a$, and $h(d) = b$.

Then $\gamma \circ h: [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a new C^1 path (different parametrization of γ).

The value of $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ does not depend on the parametrization, except for orientation.

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is C^1 and

$h: [c, d] \rightarrow [a, b]$ is C^1 , $h' > 0$ (increasing), $h(c) = a$, and $h(d) = b$.

Then $\gamma \circ h: [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a new C^1 path (different parametrization of γ).

Change of variable $t = h(s)$ says

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$$

The value of $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ does not depend on the parametrization, except for orientation.

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is C^1 and

$h: [c, d] \rightarrow [a, b]$ is C^1 , $h' > 0$ (increasing), $h(c) = a$, and $h(d) = b$.

Then $\gamma \circ h: [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a new C^1 path (different parametrization of γ).

Change of variable $t = h(s)$ says

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t) dt$$

The value of $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ does not depend on the parametrization, except for orientation.

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is C^1 and

$h: [c, d] \rightarrow [a, b]$ is C^1 , $h' > 0$ (increasing), $h(c) = a$, and $h(d) = b$.

Then $\gamma \circ h: [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a new C^1 path (different parametrization of γ).

Change of variable $t = h(s)$ says

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t) dt = \int_c^d f(\gamma(h(s))) \gamma'(h(s)) h'(s) ds$$

The value of $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ does not depend on the parametrization, except for orientation.

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is C^1 and

$h: [c, d] \rightarrow [a, b]$ is C^1 , $h' > 0$ (increasing), $h(c) = a$, and $h(d) = b$.

Then $\gamma \circ h: [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a new C^1 path (different parametrization of γ).

Change of variable $t = h(s)$ says

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t) dt = \int_c^d f(\gamma(h(s))) \gamma'(h(s)) h'(s) ds = \int_{\gamma \circ h} f(z) dz.$$

The value of $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ does not depend on the parametrization, except for orientation.

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is C^1 and

$h: [c, d] \rightarrow [a, b]$ is C^1 , $h' > 0$ (increasing), $h(c) = a$, and $h(d) = b$.

Then $\gamma \circ h: [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a new C^1 path (different parametrization of γ).

Change of variable $t = h(s)$ says

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t) dt = \int_c^d f(\gamma(h(s))) \gamma'(h(s)) h'(s) ds = \int_{\gamma \circ h} f(z) dz.$$

If $h' < 0$, $h(c) = b$ and $h(d) = a$,

The value of $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ does not depend on the parametrization, except for orientation.

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is C^1 and

$h: [c, d] \rightarrow [a, b]$ is C^1 , $h' > 0$ (increasing), $h(c) = a$, and $h(d) = b$.

Then $\gamma \circ h: [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a new C^1 path (different parametrization of γ).

Change of variable $t = h(s)$ says

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t) dt = \int_c^d f(\gamma(h(s))) \gamma'(h(s)) h'(s) ds = \int_{\gamma \circ h} f(z) dz.$$

If $h' < 0$, $h(c) = b$ and $h(d) = a$, then

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = - \int_{\gamma \circ h} f(z) dz.$$

The general version is more difficult and left as an exercise (we only morally need it).

The general version is more difficult and left as an exercise (we only morally need it).

Proposition (Reparametrization)

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha: [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are piecewise- C^1 paths such that $\gamma([a, b]) = \alpha([c, d])$. Suppose either

- (i) γ and α are injective, or*
- (ii) $\gamma|_{(a,b]}$ and $\alpha|_{(c,d]}$ are injective and $\gamma(a) = \alpha(c) = \gamma(b) = \alpha(d)$ (simple closed paths).*

The general version is more difficult and left as an exercise (we only morally need it).

Proposition (Reparametrization)

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha: [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are piecewise- C^1 paths such that $\gamma([a, b]) = \alpha([c, d])$.
Suppose either

- (i) γ and α are injective, or
- (ii) $\gamma|_{(a,b]}$ and $\alpha|_{(c,d]}$ are injective and $\gamma(a) = \alpha(c) = \gamma(b) = \alpha(d)$ (simple closed paths).

Then there exists a strictly monotone continuous $h: [c, d] \rightarrow [a, b]$ such that $\gamma(h(t)) = \alpha(t)$ for all $t \in [c, d]$.

The general version is more difficult and left as an exercise (we only morally need it).

Proposition (Reparametrization)

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha: [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are piecewise- C^1 paths such that $\gamma([a, b]) = \alpha([c, d])$. Suppose either

- (i) γ and α are injective, or
- (ii) $\gamma|_{(a,b]}$ and $\alpha|_{(c,d]}$ are injective and $\gamma(a) = \alpha(c) = \gamma(b) = \alpha(d)$ (simple closed paths).

Then there exists a strictly monotone continuous $h: [c, d] \rightarrow [a, b]$ such that $\gamma(h(t)) = \alpha(t)$ for all $t \in [c, d]$. Furthermore:

- (i) If h is increasing, then for every f continuous on the path, $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{\alpha} f(z) dz$.
- (ii) If h is decreasing, then for every f continuous on the path, $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = - \int_{\alpha} f(z) dz$.

We often write down the boundary of some open set as our path (as long as it's piecewise- C^1).

We often write down the boundary of some open set as our path
(as long as it's piecewise- C^1).

We consider any parametrization going counterclockwise around the interior.

We often write down the boundary of some open set as our path (as long as it's piecewise- C^1).

We consider any parametrization going counterclockwise around the interior.

For instance, given a disc $\Delta_r(p)$, we parametrize the boundary $\partial\Delta_r(p)$ by $\gamma: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\gamma(t) = p + re^{it}$,

We often write down the boundary of some open set as our path (as long as it's piecewise- C^1).

We consider any parametrization going counterclockwise around the interior.

For instance, given a disc $\Delta_r(p)$, we parametrize the boundary $\partial\Delta_r(p)$ by $\gamma: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\gamma(t) = p + re^{it}$,

and then

$$\int_{\partial\Delta_r(p)} f(z) dz = \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz.$$

There is also the “ u -substitution” from calculus.

There is also the “ u -substitution” from calculus.

Proposition

Let $U, V \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open, $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow V$ piecewise- C^1 path, $g: V \rightarrow U$ holomorphic (assume g' is continuous), and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous.

There is also the “ u -substitution” from calculus.

Proposition

Let $U, V \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open, $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow V$ piecewise- C^1 path, $g: V \rightarrow U$ holomorphic (assume g' is continuous), and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous. Then $g \circ \gamma$ is a piecewise- C^1 path in U (possibly with vanishing derivative, however, if g' is zero on γ) and

There is also the “ u -substitution” from calculus.

Proposition

Let $U, V \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open, $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow V$ piecewise- C^1 path, $g: V \rightarrow U$ holomorphic (assume g' is continuous), and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous. Then $g \circ \gamma$ is a piecewise- C^1 path in U (possibly with vanishing derivative, however, if g' is zero on γ) and

$$\int_{\gamma} f(g(z))g'(z) dz = \int_{g \circ \gamma} f(w) dw.$$

There is also the “ u -substitution” from calculus.

Proposition

Let $U, V \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open, $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow V$ piecewise- C^1 path, $g: V \rightarrow U$ holomorphic (assume g' is continuous), and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous. Then $g \circ \gamma$ is a piecewise- C^1 path in U (possibly with vanishing derivative, however, if g' is zero on γ) and

$$\int_{\gamma} f(g(z))g'(z) dz = \int_{g \circ \gamma} f(w) dw.$$

Proof: Clearly $g \circ \gamma$ is a piecewise- C^1 path (except with perhaps vanishing derivative).

There is also the “ u -substitution” from calculus.

Proposition

Let $U, V \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open, $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow V$ piecewise- C^1 path, $g: V \rightarrow U$ holomorphic (assume g' is continuous), and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous. Then $g \circ \gamma$ is a piecewise- C^1 path in U (possibly with vanishing derivative, however, if g' is zero on γ) and

$$\int_{\gamma} f(g(z))g'(z) dz = \int_{g \circ \gamma} f(w) dw.$$

Proof: Clearly $g \circ \gamma$ is a piecewise- C^1 path (except with perhaps vanishing derivative).

Apply the chain rule, $(g \circ \gamma)'(t) = g'(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)$:

There is also the “ u -substitution” from calculus.

Proposition

Let $U, V \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open, $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow V$ piecewise- C^1 path, $g: V \rightarrow U$ holomorphic (assume g' is continuous), and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous. Then $g \circ \gamma$ is a piecewise- C^1 path in U (possibly with vanishing derivative, however, if g' is zero on γ) and

$$\int_{\gamma} f(g(z))g'(z) dz = \int_{g \circ \gamma} f(w) dw.$$

Proof: Clearly $g \circ \gamma$ is a piecewise- C^1 path (except with perhaps vanishing derivative).

Apply the chain rule, $(g \circ \gamma)'(t) = g'(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)$:

$$\int_{\gamma} f(g(z))g'(z) dz$$

There is also the “ u -substitution” from calculus.

Proposition

Let $U, V \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open, $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow V$ piecewise- C^1 path, $g: V \rightarrow U$ holomorphic (assume g' is continuous), and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous. Then $g \circ \gamma$ is a piecewise- C^1 path in U (possibly with vanishing derivative, however, if g' is zero on γ) and

$$\int_{\gamma} f(g(z))g'(z) dz = \int_{g \circ \gamma} f(w) dw.$$

Proof: Clearly $g \circ \gamma$ is a piecewise- C^1 path (except with perhaps vanishing derivative).

Apply the chain rule, $(g \circ \gamma)'(t) = g'(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)$:

$$\int_{\gamma} f(g(z))g'(z) dz = \int_a^b f(g(\gamma(t)))g'(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t) dt$$

There is also the “ u -substitution” from calculus.

Proposition

Let $U, V \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open, $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow V$ piecewise- C^1 path, $g: V \rightarrow U$ holomorphic (assume g' is continuous), and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous. Then $g \circ \gamma$ is a piecewise- C^1 path in U (possibly with vanishing derivative, however, if g' is zero on γ) and

$$\int_{\gamma} f(g(z))g'(z) dz = \int_{g \circ \gamma} f(w) dw.$$

Proof: Clearly $g \circ \gamma$ is a piecewise- C^1 path (except with perhaps vanishing derivative).

Apply the chain rule, $(g \circ \gamma)'(t) = g'(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)$:

$$\int_{\gamma} f(g(z))g'(z) dz = \int_a^b f(g(\gamma(t)))g'(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t) dt = \int_a^b f((g \circ \gamma)(t))(g \circ \gamma)'(t) dt$$

There is also the “ u -substitution” from calculus.

Proposition

Let $U, V \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open, $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow V$ piecewise- C^1 path, $g: V \rightarrow U$ holomorphic (assume g' is continuous), and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous. Then $g \circ \gamma$ is a piecewise- C^1 path in U (possibly with vanishing derivative, however, if g' is zero on γ) and

$$\int_{\gamma} f(g(z))g'(z) dz = \int_{g \circ \gamma} f(w) dw.$$

Proof: Clearly $g \circ \gamma$ is a piecewise- C^1 path (except with perhaps vanishing derivative).

Apply the chain rule, $(g \circ \gamma)'(t) = g'(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t)$:

$$\int_{\gamma} f(g(z))g'(z) dz = \int_a^b f(g(\gamma(t)))g'(\gamma(t))\gamma'(t) dt = \int_a^b f((g \circ \gamma)(t))(g \circ \gamma)'(t) dt = \int_{g \circ \gamma} f(w) dw.$$



We also integrate with respect to arclength (the ds from calculus).

We also integrate with respect to arclength (the ds from calculus).

For an f continuous on a piecewise- C^1 path $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) |dz| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) |\gamma'(t)| dt.$$

We also integrate with respect to arclength (the ds from calculus).

For an f continuous on a piecewise- C^1 path $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) |dz| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) |\gamma'(t)| dt.$$

For example,

$$\int_{\gamma} |dz| = \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt \quad \left(= \int_{\gamma} ds \right)$$

is the length of γ .

Proposition (Triangle inequality for line integrals)

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a piecewise- C^1 path and f is a continuous function on γ . Then

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| \leq \int_{\gamma} |f(z)| |dz|.$$

Proposition (Triangle inequality for line integrals)

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a piecewise- C^1 path and f is a continuous function on γ . Then

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| \leq \int_{\gamma} |f(z)| |dz|.$$

In particular, if $|f(z)| \leq M$ on γ and $\ell = \int_{\gamma} |dz|$, then

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| \leq M\ell.$$

Proposition (Triangle inequality for line integrals)

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a piecewise- C^1 path and f is a continuous function on γ . Then

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| \leq \int_{\gamma} |f(z)| |dz|.$$

In particular, if $|f(z)| \leq M$ on γ and $\ell = \int_{\gamma} |dz|$, then

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| \leq M\ell.$$

Proof: We estimate

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right|$$

Proposition (Triangle inequality for line integrals)

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a piecewise- C^1 path and f is a continuous function on γ . Then

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| \leq \int_{\gamma} |f(z)| |dz|.$$

In particular, if $|f(z)| \leq M$ on γ and $\ell = \int_{\gamma} |dz|$, then

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| \leq M\ell.$$

Proof: We estimate

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| = \left| \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t) dt \right|$$

Proposition (Triangle inequality for line integrals)

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a piecewise- C^1 path and f is a continuous function on γ . Then

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| \leq \int_{\gamma} |f(z)| |dz|.$$

In particular, if $|f(z)| \leq M$ on γ and $\ell = \int_{\gamma} |dz|$, then

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| \leq M\ell.$$

Proof: We estimate

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| = \left| \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t) dt \right| \leq \underbrace{\int_a^b |f(\gamma(t))| |\gamma'(t)| dt}_{\int_{\gamma} |f(z)| |dz|}$$

Proposition (Triangle inequality for line integrals)

Suppose $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a piecewise- C^1 path and f is a continuous function on γ . Then

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| \leq \int_{\gamma} |f(z)| |dz|.$$

In particular, if $|f(z)| \leq M$ on γ and $\ell = \int_{\gamma} |dz|$, then

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| \leq M\ell.$$

Proof: We estimate

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \right| = \left| \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t) dt \right| \leq \underbrace{\int_a^b |f(\gamma(t))| |\gamma'(t)| dt}_{\int_{\gamma} |f(z)| |dz|} \leq M \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt. \quad \square$$

Remark: Uniform convergence of the functions, $f_n \rightarrow f$, passes under the integral:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma} f_n(z) dz = \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \qquad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma} f_n(z) |dz| = \int_{\gamma} f(z) |dz|$$

Remark: Uniform convergence of the functions, $f_n \rightarrow f$, passes under the integral:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma} f_n(z) dz = \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma} f_n(z) |dz| = \int_{\gamma} f(z) |dz|$$

Uniform convergence of the paths $\gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma$ does not (for either integral).

Remark: Uniform convergence of the functions, $f_n \rightarrow f$, passes under the integral:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma} f_n(z) dz = \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma} f_n(z) |dz| = \int_{\gamma} f(z) |dz|$$

Uniform convergence of the paths $\gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma$ does not (for either integral).

For instance, there exists (exercise) uniformly convergent $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_n} |dz| = \infty$$

Remark: Uniform convergence of the functions, $f_n \rightarrow f$, passes under the integral:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma} f_n(z) dz = \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma} f_n(z) |dz| = \int_{\gamma} f(z) |dz|$$

Uniform convergence of the paths $\gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma$ does not (for either integral).

For instance, there exists (exercise) uniformly convergent $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_n} |dz| = \infty$$

So arclength is not preserved under uniform convergence of paths.

Remark: Uniform convergence of the functions, $f_n \rightarrow f$, passes under the integral:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma} f_n(z) dz = \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma} f_n(z) |dz| = \int_{\gamma} f(z) |dz|$$

Uniform convergence of the paths $\gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma$ does not (for either integral).

For instance, there exists (exercise) uniformly convergent $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_n} |dz| = \infty$$

So arclength is not preserved under uniform convergence of paths.

For this you would also need γ'_n to also converge (uniformly).