

Cultivating Complex Analysis: Homology versions of Cauchy (4.2)

Jiří Lebl

Departemento pri Matematiko de Oklahoma Ŝtata Universitato

Definition

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and Γ a cycle in U such that $n(\Gamma; p) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$, then we say Γ is *homologous to zero in U* .

Definition

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and Γ a cycle in U such that $n(\Gamma; p) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$, then we say Γ is *homologous to zero in U* .

“homologous to zero in U ” = “does not wind around any point in $\mathbb{C} \setminus U$.”

Definition

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and Γ a cycle in U such that $n(\Gamma; p) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$, then we say Γ is *homologous to zero in U* .

“homologous to zero in U ” = “does not wind around any point in $\mathbb{C} \setminus U$.”

Note: “homologous to zero” does **not** mean “equivalent to zero.”

Definition

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and Γ a cycle in U such that $n(\Gamma; p) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$, then we say Γ is *homologous to zero in U* .

“homologous to zero in U ” = “does not wind around any point in $\mathbb{C} \setminus U$.”

Note: “homologous to zero” does **not** mean “equivalent to zero.”

Example: In $U = \mathbb{C}$, every Γ is homologous to zero.

Definition

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and Γ a cycle in U such that $n(\Gamma; p) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$, then we say Γ is *homologous to zero in U* .

“homologous to zero in U ” = “does not wind around any point in $\mathbb{C} \setminus U$.”

Note: “homologous to zero” does **not** mean “equivalent to zero.”

Example: In $U = \mathbb{C}$, every Γ is homologous to zero.

Example: The unit circle is homologous to zero in \mathbb{C} , but not homologous to zero in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Definition

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and Γ a cycle in U such that $n(\Gamma; p) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$, then we say Γ is *homologous to zero in U* .

“homologous to zero in U ” = “does not wind around any point in $\mathbb{C} \setminus U$.”

Note: “homologous to zero” does **not** mean “equivalent to zero.”

Example: In $U = \mathbb{C}$, every Γ is homologous to zero.

Example: The unit circle is homologous to zero in \mathbb{C} , but not homologous to zero in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem (Cauchy integral formula (homology version))

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is open, $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, and Γ is a cycle in U homologous to zero in U . Then for $z \in U \setminus \Gamma$,

$$n(\Gamma; z)f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta.$$

Proof: Define $g: U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g(\zeta, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z} & \text{if } \zeta \neq z, \\ f'(\zeta) & \text{if } \zeta = z. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Define $g: U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g(\zeta, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z} & \text{if } \zeta \neq z, \\ f'(\zeta) & \text{if } \zeta = z. \end{cases}$$

Exercise: $g(\zeta, z)$ is continuous in $U \times U$, and

Proof: Define $g: U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g(\zeta, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\zeta)-f(z)}{\zeta-z} & \text{if } \zeta \neq z, \\ f'(\zeta) & \text{if } \zeta = z. \end{cases}$$

Exercise: $g(\zeta, z)$ is continuous in $U \times U$, and
 $z \mapsto g(\zeta, z)$ is holomorphic for every fixed $\zeta \in U$.

Proof: Define $g: U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g(\zeta, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\zeta)-f(z)}{\zeta-z} & \text{if } \zeta \neq z, \\ f'(\zeta) & \text{if } \zeta = z. \end{cases}$$

Exercise: $g(\zeta, z)$ is continuous in $U \times U$, and
 $z \mapsto g(\zeta, z)$ is holomorphic for every fixed $\zeta \in U$.

Let

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta & \text{if } z \in U, \\ \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d\zeta & \text{if } z \notin \Gamma \text{ and } n(\Gamma; z) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof: Define $g: U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g(\zeta, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\zeta)-f(z)}{\zeta-z} & \text{if } \zeta \neq z, \\ f'(\zeta) & \text{if } \zeta = z. \end{cases}$$

Exercise: $g(\zeta, z)$ is continuous in $U \times U$, and $z \mapsto g(\zeta, z)$ is holomorphic for every fixed $\zeta \in U$.

Let

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta & \text{if } z \in U, \\ \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d\zeta & \text{if } z \notin \Gamma \text{ and } n(\Gamma; z) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$h(z)$ is defined for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (as $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$).

Proof: Define $g: U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g(\zeta, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\zeta)-f(z)}{\zeta-z} & \text{if } \zeta \neq z, \\ f'(\zeta) & \text{if } \zeta = z. \end{cases}$$

Exercise: $g(\zeta, z)$ is continuous in $U \times U$, and $z \mapsto g(\zeta, z)$ is holomorphic for every fixed $\zeta \in U$.

Let

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta & \text{if } z \in U, \\ \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d\zeta & \text{if } z \notin \Gamma \text{ and } n(\Gamma; z) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$h(z)$ is defined for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (as $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$).

But, at some points we have two definitions!

Proof: Define $g: U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g(\zeta, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\zeta)-f(z)}{\zeta-z} & \text{if } \zeta \neq z, \\ f'(\zeta) & \text{if } \zeta = z. \end{cases}$$

Exercise: $g(\zeta, z)$ is continuous in $U \times U$, and $z \mapsto g(\zeta, z)$ is holomorphic for every fixed $\zeta \in U$.

Let

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta & \text{if } z \in U, \\ \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d\zeta & \text{if } z \notin \Gamma \text{ and } n(\Gamma; z) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$h(z)$ is defined for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (as $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$).

But, at some points we have two definitions!

Suppose $z \in U \setminus \Gamma$ and $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$.

Proof: Define $g: U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g(\zeta, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\zeta)-f(z)}{\zeta-z} & \text{if } \zeta \neq z, \\ f'(\zeta) & \text{if } \zeta = z. \end{cases}$$

Exercise: $g(\zeta, z)$ is continuous in $U \times U$, and $z \mapsto g(\zeta, z)$ is holomorphic for every fixed $\zeta \in U$.

Let

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta & \text{if } z \in U, \\ \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d\zeta & \text{if } z \notin \Gamma \text{ and } n(\Gamma; z) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$h(z)$ is defined for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (as $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$).

But, at some points we have two definitions!

Suppose $z \in U \setminus \Gamma$ and $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$.

$$\int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta$$

Proof: Define $g: U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g(\zeta, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\zeta)-f(z)}{\zeta-z} & \text{if } \zeta \neq z, \\ f'(\zeta) & \text{if } \zeta = z. \end{cases}$$

Exercise: $g(\zeta, z)$ is continuous in $U \times U$, and $z \mapsto g(\zeta, z)$ is holomorphic for every fixed $\zeta \in U$.

Let

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta & \text{if } z \in U, \\ \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d\zeta & \text{if } z \notin \Gamma \text{ and } n(\Gamma; z) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$h(z)$ is defined for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (as $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$).

But, at some points we have two definitions!

Suppose $z \in U \setminus \Gamma$ and $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$.

$$\int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

Proof: Define $g: U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g(\zeta, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\zeta)-f(z)}{\zeta-z} & \text{if } \zeta \neq z, \\ f'(\zeta) & \text{if } \zeta = z. \end{cases}$$

Exercise: $g(\zeta, z)$ is continuous in $U \times U$, and $z \mapsto g(\zeta, z)$ is holomorphic for every fixed $\zeta \in U$.

Let

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta & \text{if } z \in U, \\ \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d\zeta & \text{if } z \notin \Gamma \text{ and } n(\Gamma; z) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$h(z)$ is defined for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (as $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$).

But, at some points we have two definitions!

Suppose $z \in U \setminus \Gamma$ and $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$.

$$\int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta - f(z)(2\pi i)n(\Gamma; z)$$

Proof: Define $g: U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g(\zeta, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\zeta)-f(z)}{\zeta-z} & \text{if } \zeta \neq z, \\ f'(\zeta) & \text{if } \zeta = z. \end{cases}$$

Exercise: $g(\zeta, z)$ is continuous in $U \times U$, and $z \mapsto g(\zeta, z)$ is holomorphic for every fixed $\zeta \in U$.

Let

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta & \text{if } z \in U, \\ \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d\zeta & \text{if } z \notin \Gamma \text{ and } n(\Gamma; z) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$h(z)$ is defined for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (as $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$).

But, at some points we have two definitions!

Suppose $z \in U \setminus \Gamma$ and $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$.

$$\int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta - f(z)(2\pi i)n(\Gamma; z) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta.$$

Proof: Define $g: U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g(\zeta, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z} & \text{if } \zeta \neq z, \\ f'(\zeta) & \text{if } \zeta = z. \end{cases}$$

Exercise: $g(\zeta, z)$ is continuous in $U \times U$, and $z \mapsto g(\zeta, z)$ is holomorphic for every fixed $\zeta \in U$.

Let

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta & \text{if } z \in U, \\ \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta & \text{if } z \notin \Gamma \text{ and } n(\Gamma; z) = 0. \end{cases}$$

$h(z)$ is defined for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ (as $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$).

But, at some points we have two definitions!

Suppose $z \in U \setminus \Gamma$ and $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$.

$$\int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta - f(z)(2\pi i)n(\Gamma; z) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta.$$

So $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is well-defined.

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Holomorphicity is a local property, so only consider h on open sets covering \mathbb{C} .

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Holomorphicity is a local property, so only consider h on open sets covering \mathbb{C} .

The set of $z \notin \Gamma$ where $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ is open, and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$ is holomorphic there.

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Holomorphicity is a local property, so only consider h on open sets covering \mathbb{C} .

The set of $z \notin \Gamma$ where $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ is open, and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$ is holomorphic there.

Similarly U is open and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta$ is holomorphic there, so h is holomorphic.

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Holomorphicity is a local property, so only consider h on open sets covering \mathbb{C} .

The set of $z \notin \Gamma$ where $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ is open, and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$ is holomorphic there.

Similarly U is open and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta$ is holomorphic there, so h is holomorphic.

Consider z in the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$.

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Holomorphicity is a local property, so only consider h on open sets covering \mathbb{C} .

The set of $z \notin \Gamma$ where $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ is open, and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$ is holomorphic there.

Similarly U is open and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta$ is holomorphic there, so h is holomorphic.

Consider z in the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. Then $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$, so $h(z) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$.

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Holomorphicity is a local property, so only consider h on open sets covering \mathbb{C} .

The set of $z \notin \Gamma$ where $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ is open, and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$ is holomorphic there.

Similarly U is open and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta$ is holomorphic there, so h is holomorphic.

Consider z in the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. Then $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$, so $h(z) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$.

Let M be such that $|f(\zeta)| \leq M$ for $\zeta \in \Gamma$ and

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Holomorphicity is a local property, so only consider h on open sets covering \mathbb{C} .

The set of $z \notin \Gamma$ where $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ is open, and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$ is holomorphic there.

Similarly U is open and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta$ is holomorphic there, so h is holomorphic.

Consider z in the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. Then $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$, so $h(z) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$.

Let M be such that $|f(\zeta)| \leq M$ for $\zeta \in \Gamma$ and ℓ be the length of Γ .

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Holomorphicity is a local property, so only consider h on open sets covering \mathbb{C} .

The set of $z \notin \Gamma$ where $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ is open, and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$ is holomorphic there.

Similarly U is open and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta$ is holomorphic there, so h is holomorphic.

Consider z in the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. Then $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$, so $h(z) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$.

Let M be such that $|f(\zeta)| \leq M$ for $\zeta \in \Gamma$ and ℓ be the length of Γ .

$$|h(z)|$$

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Holomorphicity is a local property, so only consider h on open sets covering \mathbb{C} .

The set of $z \notin \Gamma$ where $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ is open, and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$ is holomorphic there.

Similarly U is open and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta$ is holomorphic there, so h is holomorphic.

Consider z in the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. Then $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$, so $h(z) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$.

Let M be such that $|f(\zeta)| \leq M$ for $\zeta \in \Gamma$ and ℓ be the length of Γ .

$$|h(z)| = \left| \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \right|$$

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Holomorphicity is a local property, so only consider h on open sets covering \mathbb{C} .

The set of $z \notin \Gamma$ where $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ is open, and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$ is holomorphic there.

Similarly U is open and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta$ is holomorphic there, so h is holomorphic.

Consider z in the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. Then $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$, so $h(z) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$.

Let M be such that $|f(\zeta)| \leq M$ for $\zeta \in \Gamma$ and ℓ be the length of Γ .

$$|h(z)| = \left| \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \right| \leq \int_{\Gamma} \left| \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \right| |d\zeta|$$

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Holomorphicity is a local property, so only consider h on open sets covering \mathbb{C} .

The set of $z \notin \Gamma$ where $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ is open, and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$ is holomorphic there.

Similarly U is open and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta$ is holomorphic there, so h is holomorphic.

Consider z in the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. Then $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$, so $h(z) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$.

Let M be such that $|f(\zeta)| \leq M$ for $\zeta \in \Gamma$ and ℓ be the length of Γ .

$$|h(z)| = \left| \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \right| \leq \int_{\Gamma} \left| \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \right| |d\zeta| \leq \frac{M\ell}{d(z, \Gamma)} \quad (d(z, \Gamma) \text{ is the distance of } z \text{ and } \Gamma).$$

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Holomorphicity is a local property, so only consider h on open sets covering \mathbb{C} .

The set of $z \notin \Gamma$ where $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ is open, and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$ is holomorphic there.

Similarly U is open and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta$ is holomorphic there, so h is holomorphic.

Consider z in the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. Then $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$, so $h(z) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$.

Let M be such that $|f(\zeta)| \leq M$ for $\zeta \in \Gamma$ and ℓ be the length of Γ .

$$|h(z)| = \left| \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \right| \leq \int_{\Gamma} \left| \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \right| |d\zeta| \leq \frac{M\ell}{d(z, \Gamma)} \quad (d(z, \Gamma) \text{ is the distance of } z \text{ and } \Gamma).$$

$$z \rightarrow \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(z, \Gamma) \rightarrow \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad h(z) \rightarrow 0$$

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Holomorphicity is a local property, so only consider h on open sets covering \mathbb{C} .

The set of $z \notin \Gamma$ where $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ is open, and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$ is holomorphic there.

Similarly U is open and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta$ is holomorphic there, so h is holomorphic.

Consider z in the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. Then $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$, so $h(z) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$.

Let M be such that $|f(\zeta)| \leq M$ for $\zeta \in \Gamma$ and ℓ be the length of Γ .

$$|h(z)| = \left| \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \right| \leq \int_{\Gamma} \left| \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \right| |d\zeta| \leq \frac{M\ell}{d(z, \Gamma)} \quad (d(z, \Gamma) \text{ is the distance of } z \text{ and } \Gamma).$$

$$z \rightarrow \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(z, \Gamma) \rightarrow \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad h(z) \rightarrow 0$$

So h is bounded, by Liouville h is constant, and the constant is zero.

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Holomorphicity is a local property, so only consider h on open sets covering \mathbb{C} .

The set of $z \notin \Gamma$ where $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ is open, and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$ is holomorphic there.

Similarly U is open and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta$ is holomorphic there, so h is holomorphic.

Consider z in the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. Then $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$, so $h(z) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$.

Let M be such that $|f(\zeta)| \leq M$ for $\zeta \in \Gamma$ and ℓ be the length of Γ .

$$|h(z)| = \left| \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \right| \leq \int_{\Gamma} \left| \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \right| |d\zeta| \leq \frac{M\ell}{d(z, \Gamma)} \quad (d(z, \Gamma) \text{ is the distance of } z \text{ and } \Gamma).$$

$$z \rightarrow \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(z, \Gamma) \rightarrow \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad h(z) \rightarrow 0$$

So h is bounded, by Liouville h is constant, and the constant is zero.

Suppose $z \in U \setminus \Gamma$. Then

$$0 = h(z)$$

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Holomorphicity is a local property, so only consider h on open sets covering \mathbb{C} .

The set of $z \notin \Gamma$ where $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ is open, and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$ is holomorphic there.

Similarly U is open and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta$ is holomorphic there, so h is holomorphic.

Consider z in the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. Then $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$, so $h(z) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$.

Let M be such that $|f(\zeta)| \leq M$ for $\zeta \in \Gamma$ and ℓ be the length of Γ .

$$|h(z)| = \left| \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \right| \leq \int_{\Gamma} \left| \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \right| |d\zeta| \leq \frac{M\ell}{d(z, \Gamma)} \quad (d(z, \Gamma) \text{ is the distance of } z \text{ and } \Gamma).$$

$$z \rightarrow \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(z, \Gamma) \rightarrow \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad h(z) \rightarrow 0$$

So h is bounded, by Liouville h is constant, and the constant is zero.

Suppose $z \in U \setminus \Gamma$. Then

$$0 = h(z) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

WTS $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic.

Holomorphicity is a local property, so only consider h on open sets covering \mathbb{C} .

The set of $z \notin \Gamma$ where $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$ is open, and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$ is holomorphic there.

Similarly U is open and $z \mapsto \int_{\Gamma} g(\zeta, z) d\zeta$ is holomorphic there, so h is holomorphic.

Consider z in the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. Then $n(\Gamma; z) = 0$, so $h(z) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$.

Let M be such that $|f(\zeta)| \leq M$ for $\zeta \in \Gamma$ and ℓ be the length of Γ .

$$|h(z)| = \left| \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \right| \leq \int_{\Gamma} \left| \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} \right| |d\zeta| \leq \frac{M\ell}{d(z, \Gamma)} \quad (d(z, \Gamma) \text{ is the distance of } z \text{ and } \Gamma).$$

$$z \rightarrow \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(z, \Gamma) \rightarrow \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad h(z) \rightarrow 0$$

So h is bounded, by Liouville h is constant, and the constant is zero.

Suppose $z \in U \setminus \Gamma$. Then

$$0 = h(z) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta - f(z) (2\pi i) n(\Gamma; z). \quad \square$$

Theorem (Cauchy's theorem (homology version))

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is open, $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, and Γ is a cycle in U homologous to zero in U . Then

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0.$$

Theorem (Cauchy's theorem (homology version))

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is open, $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, and Γ is a cycle in U homologous to zero in U . Then

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0.$$

Proof: Fix $z \in U \setminus \Gamma$.

Theorem (Cauchy's theorem (homology version))

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is open, $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, and Γ is a cycle in U homologous to zero in U . Then

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0.$$

Proof: Fix $z \in U \setminus \Gamma$.

Apply the Cauchy integral formula to $\zeta \mapsto (\zeta - z)f(\zeta)$ at $\zeta = z$:

Theorem (Cauchy's theorem (homology version))

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is open, $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, and Γ is a cycle in U homologous to zero in U . Then

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0.$$

Proof: Fix $z \in U \setminus \Gamma$.

Apply the Cauchy integral formula to $\zeta \mapsto (\zeta - z)f(\zeta)$ at $\zeta = z$:

$$0 = n(\Gamma; z)(z - z)f(z)$$

Theorem (Cauchy's theorem (homology version))

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is open, $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, and Γ is a cycle in U homologous to zero in U . Then

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0.$$

Proof: Fix $z \in U \setminus \Gamma$.

Apply the Cauchy integral formula to $\zeta \mapsto (\zeta - z)f(\zeta)$ at $\zeta = z$:

$$0 = n(\Gamma; z)(z - z)f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{(\zeta - z)f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

Theorem (Cauchy's theorem (homology version))

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is open, $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, and Γ is a cycle in U homologous to zero in U . Then

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0.$$

Proof: Fix $z \in U \setminus \Gamma$.

Apply the Cauchy integral formula to $\zeta \mapsto (\zeta - z)f(\zeta)$ at $\zeta = z$:

$$0 = n(\Gamma; z)(z - z)f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{(\zeta - z)f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(\zeta) d\zeta. \quad \square$$

Theorem (Cauchy's theorem (homology version))

Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ is open, $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, and Γ is a cycle in U homologous to zero in U . Then

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0.$$

Proof: Fix $z \in U \setminus \Gamma$.

Apply the Cauchy integral formula to $\zeta \mapsto (\zeta - z)f(\zeta)$ at $\zeta = z$:

$$0 = n(\Gamma; z)(z - z)f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{(\zeta - z)f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(\zeta) d\zeta. \quad \square$$

Remark: Cauchy integral formula and Cauchy's theorem are equivalent logically (if you prove one the other follows).

Definition

Cycles Γ_0 and Γ_1 in $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ are *homologous* in U if $n(\Gamma_0; p) = n(\Gamma_1; p)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$.

Equivalently, $\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_1$ is homologous to zero in U .

Definition

Cycles Γ_0 and Γ_1 in $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ are *homologous* in U if $n(\Gamma_0; p) = n(\Gamma_1; p)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$.

Equivalently, $\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_1$ is homologous to zero in U .

Corollary

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic. If two cycles Γ_0 and Γ_1 in U are homologous in U , then

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} f(z) dz = \int_{\Gamma_1} f(z) dz.$$

Definition

Cycles Γ_0 and Γ_1 in $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ are *homologous* in U if $n(\Gamma_0; p) = n(\Gamma_1; p)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$.

Equivalently, $\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_1$ is homologous to zero in U .

Corollary

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic. If two cycles Γ_0 and Γ_1 in U are homologous in U , then

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} f(z) dz = \int_{\Gamma_1} f(z) dz.$$

That is useful for computing integrals:

Given a complicated cycle, find a simple one that is homologous.

Definition

Cycles Γ_0 and Γ_1 in $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ are *homologous* in U if $n(\Gamma_0; p) = n(\Gamma_1; p)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$.

Equivalently, $\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_1$ is homologous to zero in U .

Corollary

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic. If two cycles Γ_0 and Γ_1 in U are homologous in U , then

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} f(z) dz = \int_{\Gamma_1} f(z) dz.$$

That is useful for computing integrals:

Given a complicated cycle, find a simple one that is homologous.

E.g. (exercise), any cycle in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is homologous to $n\partial\mathbb{D}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition

Cycles Γ_0 and Γ_1 in $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ are *homologous* in U if $n(\Gamma_0; p) = n(\Gamma_1; p)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{C} \setminus U$.

Equivalently, $\Gamma_0 - \Gamma_1$ is homologous to zero in U .

Corollary

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic. If two cycles Γ_0 and Γ_1 in U are homologous in U , then

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} f(z) dz = \int_{\Gamma_1} f(z) dz.$$

That is useful for computing integrals:

Given a complicated cycle, find a simple one that is homologous.

E.g. (exercise), any cycle in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is homologous to $n\partial\mathbb{D}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark: Being “homologous” is an equivalence relation and the set of equivalence classes of cycles is an abelian group (under the cycle addition, exercise). This group is called the *first homology group* of U .