

# Cultivating Complex Analysis: Line integrals, chains (3.1 part 3)

Jiří Lebl

Departemento pri Matematiko de Oklahoma Ŝtata Universitato

It is useful to combine paths to obtain so-called *chains*.

It is useful to combine paths to obtain so-called *chains*. For two paths  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$ ,

$$\int_{\gamma+\alpha} f(z) dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz + \int_{\alpha} f(z) dz.$$

It is useful to combine paths to obtain so-called *chains*. For two paths  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$ ,

$$\int_{\gamma+\alpha} f(z) dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz + \int_{\alpha} f(z) dz.$$

### Definition

A *chain* in  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  is an expression  $\Gamma = a_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + a_n\gamma_n$ , where  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  are piecewise- $C^1$  paths in  $U$ .

It is useful to combine paths to obtain so-called *chains*. For two paths  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$ ,

$$\int_{\gamma+\alpha} f(z) dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz + \int_{\alpha} f(z) dz.$$

### Definition

A *chain* in  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  is an expression  $\Gamma = a_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + a_n\gamma_n$ , where  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  are piecewise- $C^1$  paths in  $U$ . We integrate over  $\Gamma$  as

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{a_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + a_n\gamma_n} f(z) dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_1 \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz + \cdots + a_n \int_{\gamma_n} f(z) dz.$$

It is useful to combine paths to obtain so-called *chains*. For two paths  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$ ,

$$\int_{\gamma+\alpha} f(z) dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz + \int_{\alpha} f(z) dz.$$

### Definition

A *chain* in  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  is an expression  $\Gamma = a_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + a_n\gamma_n$ , where  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  are piecewise- $C^1$  paths in  $U$ . We integrate over  $\Gamma$  as

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{a_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + a_n\gamma_n} f(z) dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_1 \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz + \cdots + a_n \int_{\gamma_n} f(z) dz.$$

Two chains  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  in  $U$  are *equivalent* (we will write  $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$ ) if

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} f(z) dz = \int_{\Gamma_2} f(z) dz \quad \text{for all continuous } f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

It is useful to combine paths to obtain so-called *chains*. For two paths  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$ ,

$$\int_{\gamma+\alpha} f(z) dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz + \int_{\alpha} f(z) dz.$$

### Definition

A *chain* in  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  is an expression  $\Gamma = a_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + a_n\gamma_n$ , where  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  are piecewise- $C^1$  paths in  $U$ . We integrate over  $\Gamma$  as

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{a_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + a_n\gamma_n} f(z) dz \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_1 \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz + \cdots + a_n \int_{\gamma_n} f(z) dz.$$

Two chains  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  in  $U$  are *equivalent* (we will write  $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2$ ) if

$$\int_{\Gamma_1} f(z) dz = \int_{\Gamma_2} f(z) dz \quad \text{for all continuous } f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Define the *zero chain*  $0$  by defining  $\int_0 f(z) dz = 0$  for all continuous  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

Chain arithmetic is done in the obvious way:



Chain arithmetic is done in the obvious way:

If  $\Gamma_1 = 2\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_2 = 3\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$ ,  
then  $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 = 2\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$ .

Chain arithmetic is done in the obvious way:

If  $\Gamma_1 = 2\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_2 = 3\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$ ,  
then  $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 = 2\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$ .

Similarly,  $3\Gamma_1 = 6\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2$ .

Chain arithmetic is done in the obvious way:

If  $\Gamma_1 = 2\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_2 = 3\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$ ,  
then  $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 = 2\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$ .

Similarly,  $3\Gamma_1 = 6\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2$ .

We write  $-\Gamma$  for  $(-1)\Gamma$ . (Same as running all the  $\gamma_j$  backwards.)

Chain arithmetic is done in the obvious way:

If  $\Gamma_1 = 2\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_2 = 3\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$ ,  
then  $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 = 2\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$ .

Similarly,  $3\Gamma_1 = 6\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2$ .

We write  $-\Gamma$  for  $(-1)\Gamma$ . (Same as running all the  $\gamma_j$  backwards.)

$\Gamma$  is equivalent to the zero chain if

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0$$

for all continuous  $f$ , and the chains  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are equivalent if  $\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2 = 0$ .

Chain arithmetic is done in the obvious way:

If  $\Gamma_1 = 2\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_2 = 3\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$ ,  
then  $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 = 2\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$ .

Similarly,  $3\Gamma_1 = 6\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2$ .

We write  $-\Gamma$  for  $(-1)\Gamma$ . (Same as running all the  $\gamma_j$  backwards.)

$\Gamma$  is equivalent to the zero chain if

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0$$

for all continuous  $f$ , and the chains  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are equivalent if  $\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2 = 0$ .

**Remark:** The domain of the continuous  $f$  is not a big deal. Whether on  $U$ ,  $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ , or  $\mathbb{C}$ . By Tietze's extension theorem every continuous function on a closed subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  (e.g.,  $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ ) extends to a continuous function on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Chain arithmetic is done in the obvious way:

If  $\Gamma_1 = 2\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_2 = 3\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$ ,  
then  $\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 = 2\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2 + \gamma_3$ .

Similarly,  $3\Gamma_1 = 6\gamma_1 + 3\gamma_2$ .

We write  $-\Gamma$  for  $(-1)\Gamma$ . (Same as running all the  $\gamma_j$  backwards.)

$\Gamma$  is equivalent to the zero chain if

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0$$

for all continuous  $f$ , and the chains  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are equivalent if  $\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2 = 0$ .

**Remark:** The domain of the continuous  $f$  is not a big deal. Whether on  $U$ ,  $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ , or  $\mathbb{C}$ . By Tietze's extension theorem every continuous function on a closed subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  (e.g.,  $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ ) extends to a continuous function on  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Remark:** Equivalence is for all *continuous* functions. We will show later that for many  $U$  and many  $\Gamma$ ,  $\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0$  for all holomorphic  $f$ .

For any piecewise- $C^1$  path, we could construct an equivalent chain from  $C^1$  paths.

For any piecewise- $C^1$  path, we could construct an equivalent chain from  $C^1$  paths.

Vice versa, for any chain where the paths connect together end to end, we could replace them with a single path.



For any piecewise- $C^1$  path, we could construct an equivalent chain from  $C^1$  paths.

Vice versa, for any chain where the paths connect together end to end, we could replace them with a single path.

Given two points  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ , the *segment*  $[z, w]$  is the path  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $\gamma(t) = (1 - t)z + tw$ .

For any piecewise- $C^1$  path, we could construct an equivalent chain from  $C^1$  paths.

Vice versa, for any chain where the paths connect together end to end, we could replace them with a single path.

Given two points  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ , the *segment*  $[z, w]$  is the path  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $\gamma(t) = (1 - t)z + tw$ . (In chain arithmetic,  $-[z, w] = [w, z]$ .)

For any piecewise- $C^1$  path, we could construct an equivalent chain from  $C^1$  paths.

Vice versa, for any chain where the paths connect together end to end, we could replace them with a single path.

Given two points  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ , the *segment*  $[z, w]$  is the path  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $\gamma(t) = (1 - t)z + tw$ . (In chain arithmetic,  $-[z, w] = [w, z]$ .)

A path is *polygonal* if it is equivalent to a chain  $[z_1, z_2] + [z_2, z_3] + \cdots + [z_{k-1}, z_k]$ .

For any piecewise- $C^1$  path, we could construct an equivalent chain from  $C^1$  paths.

Vice versa, for any chain where the paths connect together end to end, we could replace them with a single path.

Given two points  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ , the *segment*  $[z, w]$  is the path  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $\gamma(t) = (1 - t)z + tw$ . (In chain arithmetic,  $-[z, w] = [w, z]$ .)

A path is *polygonal* if it is equivalent to a chain  $[z_1, z_2] + [z_2, z_3] + \cdots + [z_{k-1}, z_k]$ .

Note that for any continuous  $f$  and a path, we can find a nearby polygonal path where the integral of  $f$  is arbitrarily close to the original (exercise).

For any piecewise- $C^1$  path, we could construct an equivalent chain from  $C^1$  paths.

Vice versa, for any chain where the paths connect together end to end, we could replace them with a single path.

Given two points  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ , the *segment*  $[z, w]$  is the path  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $\gamma(t) = (1 - t)z + tw$ . (In chain arithmetic,  $-[z, w] = [w, z]$ .)

A path is *polygonal* if it is equivalent to a chain  $[z_1, z_2] + [z_2, z_3] + \cdots + [z_{k-1}, z_k]$ .

Note that for any continuous  $f$  and a path, we can find a nearby polygonal path where the integral of  $f$  is arbitrarily close to the original (exercise).

So really, knowing how to integrate polygonal paths is “good enough.”

For any piecewise- $C^1$  path, we could construct an equivalent chain from  $C^1$  paths.

Vice versa, for any chain where the paths connect together end to end, we could replace them with a single path.

Given two points  $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ , the *segment*  $[z, w]$  is the path  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $\gamma(t) = (1 - t)z + tw$ . (In chain arithmetic,  $-[z, w] = [w, z]$ .)

A path is *polygonal* if it is equivalent to a chain  $[z_1, z_2] + [z_2, z_3] + \cdots + [z_{k-1}, z_k]$ .

Note that for any continuous  $f$  and a path, we can find a nearby polygonal path where the integral of  $f$  is arbitrarily close to the original (exercise).

So really, knowing how to integrate polygonal paths is “good enough.”

Most often used paths are composed of segments and arcs of circles.